

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/761,694	LUCIANO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Drew E Becker	1761	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Drew E Becker (3) _____

(2) Roland Long (4) _____

Date of Interview: 7-2-03

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: all in general

Identification of prior art discussed: Vecchi et al

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: see below

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Reviewed a proposed amendment to the claims. The proposed amendment would appear to answer the 112(z) rejections. The proposed new claim 27 will be considered further when the amendment is filed. Further search & consideration may be required.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Drew E Becker
Examiner's signature, if required